NAGATA, KOICHI 10/574,805 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner BIJAY S. SAHA 1793 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Attorney Bernadette McGann, Tech Advisor (1) BIJAY S. SAHA. (4) Attorney Stephen Adrian. 32878 (2) Curtis Mayes. Date of Interview: 15 September 2009. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 19. & Konareveteat Identification of prior art discussed: $_{US.}$ /852 Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \bowtie N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. Discusso on roculity of fullerene and Falleren Amire formation of Konaver, Alty says combiton of references doingt teach the Clair livitation "amire

Application No.

Applicant(s)

/BIJAY S SAHA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

confex, is insoluted"

Paper No. 20090914